

Generating functions for the Coxeter group H4

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 7705 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/29/23/028)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.70 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:05

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Generating functions for the Coxeter group $H_4$

C S Lam, J Patera<sup>†</sup> and R T Sharp

Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal H3W 2T8, Quebec, Canada

Received 23 January 1996, in final form 8 July 1996

**Abstract.** Generating functions are found for decomposition of the space of homogeneous polynomials of any degree in four variables into the direct sum of subspaces irreducible under the group  $H_4$ , the non-crystallographic Coxeter group of order 14 400. The four variables are coordinates of a vector from the defining four-dimensional representation space of the group. As the defining representation we consider any of the four non-equivalent irreducible representations of  $H_4$  of dimension four.

Analogous generating functions for the binary icosahedral group  $H_3$  of order 120 (generated by reflections, i.e. a subgroup of O(3) but not of SO(3)) and for the dihedral group  $H_2$  of order 10 are also rederived and shown. The groups are naturally related by  $H_4 \supset H_3 \supset H_2$ .

### 1. Introduction

The group  $H_4$  is the largest of its kind and as such it plays a special role in mathematics. During the last decade its importance in physics applications has also emerged. Let us point out, for example, that it contains as subgroups the icosahedral group, as well as all the other point groups of three-dimensional physics. Thus it is natural to start by asking the most intriguing question: What is its role in nature?

As far as we know the group  $H_4$  has occurred in the physics literature either in the context of the physics of amorphous solids [1–6], biophysics [7], or quasicrystals [8, 9]. In the latter case it appears to play a rather basic role for a family of quasicrystals displaying icosahedral symmetry and also all the other symmetries encountered in quasicrystal experiments [9, 10]. Moreover, one may expect that the role of  $H_4$  goes well beyond these fields because of its close relation to the largest exceptional simple Lie group  $E_8$  [8–11] which itself has been used in fundamental questions of particle theory. Namely, the gauge group of the heterotic string theory is  $E_8 \times E_8$  [12].

Basic properties of finite Coxeter groups,  $H_4$  in particular, have been known for some time [13, 14]. They are of two types: the crystallographic and non-crystallographic ones. They are best distinguished by the properties of their root systems. The former are the symmetry groups of the root systems of the semisimple Lie algebras (Weyl groups) and as such they are the point groups of the corresponding root lattices. The non-crystallographic ones are all the others. Namely, the irreducible ones (connected Coxeter diagram) are the three groups  $H_2 \subset H_3 \subset H_4$  considered in this paper and the series of dihedral groups of order  $2n, 7 \leq n < \infty$ . The fact that there is a root system in the usual sense attached to a non-crystallographic Coxeter group was established

† Permanent address: Département de mathématiques, Université de Montréal, Canada.

0305-4470/96/237705+15\$19.50 (c) 1996 IOP Publishing Ltd

only relatively recently [15]. Integer linear combinations of such roots are dense point sets.

The group  $H_4$  is a subgroup of O(4) which is generated by four reflections acting in the real Euclidean four-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Among the finite groups generated by any number of reflections (Coxeter groups) it is singled out because it is not contained in any larger finite irreducible non-crystallographic Coxeter group (among the crystallographic ones it is found only in the Weyl group of  $E_8$  [9, 11] and higher ones). One may rightly compare the position of  $H_4$  among finite Coxeter groups with that of  $E_8$  among the finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebras/groups. The order of  $H_4$  is 14 400. Besides the one-dimensional representations, it has four inequivalent irreducible representations of dimension four, and 28 other irreducible representations of dimensions ranging from six to 36. Any one of the four-dimensional representations can be chosen as the defining representation for the group; below we denote such a representation by the symbol  $\Box$ .

Our goal in this paper is the following. We fix the representation  $\Box$  and consider a generic vector  $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ , given relative to some basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , as being transformed by the representation  $\Box$ . The object of our interest is the space  $\mathcal{P}_d$  spanned by the homogeneous polynomials in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$  of any degree d. It is well known that this space is completely reducible into the direct sum of subspaces irreducible under some representations of  $H_4$ . Our problem is to decompose  $\mathcal{P}_d$  with any  $1 \leq d < \infty$  into the direct sum of subspaces irreducible with respect to  $H_4$ .

At the same time we find the degrees and the  $H_4$  transformation properties of the integrity basis for our problem. These are homogeneous polynomials in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$  transforming according to some irreducible representation of  $H_4$ . Our aim is to determine their existence, degrees in the four variables, and  $H_4$  transformation properties. We do not do this here, but it would be interesting to construct these tensors explicitly relative to some basis of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

An important simplest case is the integrity basis for  $H_4$  invariants or scalars. It is known that the degrees of its four elements are 2, 12, 20, and 30 (cf table on p 87 in [13]). Every other invariant which is a function of polynomials in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$  is constructed out of them.

An efficient way to find the information about the elements of the integrity basis is to construct the appropriate generating function. We construct it directly in a 'positive' form, characterized by the property that there are no cancellations during its development into power series. The existence of the elements of the integrity basis, their degrees, and transformation properties under  $H_4$  are then readily inferred from the positive form of the generating function.

The main results of this paper are the generating functions for the  $H_4$  polynomial tensors in their positive form. More precisely, the generating function is constructed for each of the four cases where the representation  $\Box$  is one of the four irreducible representations of  $H_4$  of dimension four. Only one of the generating functions needs to be calculated, the other three are obtained by certain elementary transformations of the first one, which we indicate. It turns out that the  $H_4$  generating function is so large that it is impractical to spell it out explicitly as a rational function. Nevertheless, there is a concise way to provide all the details of the structure of that function in the form of several tables.

As an independently interesting and didactic introduction to our problem and methods, we find analogous generating functions for the two smaller groups closely related to  $H_4$ . These are  $H_3$ , the icosahedral group of order 120 generated by three reflections, and the group  $H_2$ , the dihedral group of order 10.

Generating functions for scalars of finite groups of not very large orders have been known for about a century as the Molien functions [16, 17]. Many explicit examples can be found in the physics literature (see for example [17–23]). McLellan [17] and Michel [20] give a generalization by which generating functions of other irreducible representations might be calculated; this traditional approach is based on the general property of characters, see for example (2) in [21]. Although it would be conceivable to use computer algebra to find the generating functions of this paper in the traditional way, we have followed a different path which is far more rapid and economical. In fact our method would have allowed us to find the generating function of this paper even by hand. The traditional approach found generating functions for each irreducible representation separately; the present method finds them all simultaneously. The generating function here is the sum of the traditional ones.

The method employed in this paper has apparently not been used since its inception [24]. It consists of finding the numerator and denominator of the generating function in the desired form by a recursion process using only the decompositions of several lowest tensor products of irreducible representations. One of the goals of this paper is to demonstrate for a large case such as  $H_4$  how efficient our method really is.

The generating functions for  $H_2$  can be found in [21]; those for the icosahedral subgroup of SO(3) and SU(2) in [21, 22]. An explicit construction of polynomial tensor integrity bases has been undertaken in [21, 22, 25] starting from the three-dimensional representations.

An interesting problem naturally extending this paper, which we do not consider here, is the actual construction of the tensors of the integrity bases as polynomials in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$ ,  $x_4$ . Such a construction for  $H_k$ , and particularly in the case of  $H_4$  where some of the degrees of the desired tensors are rather large and the tensor components may have many terms, would appear practical provided one uses a basis in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  which is 'adapted' to the symmetry group  $H_k$ . That is either the basis of simple roots of  $H_k$  or its dual [10, 26].

The next two sections introduce Coxeter groups in general (section 2) and  $H_4$ ,  $H_3$ , and  $H_2$  (section 3). In section 4 the general form of the generating function is given. Crucial for this paper are the recurrence relations (4.4) allowing us to compute the numerator of the generating function. In sections 5, 6 and 7 we deal with the cases  $H_2$ ,  $H_3$ , and  $H_4$ , respectively. The character tables are shown and the generating functions are described. Important and instructive are the  $H_2$  and  $H_3$  examples of computing the numerators in sections 5 and 6.

Irreducible representations of  $H_k$  are identified and numbered in the corresponding character table. The symbol  $\chi_i$  denotes the *i*th irreducible representation. In column 1*a* of each character table, containing the characters of the identity element of  $H_k$ , one finds the dimensions of the representations.

#### 2. Coxeter groups and their diagrams

A Coxeter group *W* acting in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the *n*-dimensional real Euclidean space, is generated by its elementary reflections  $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n$ . To each reflection  $r_k$  one associates a reflection plane (mirror) and a normalized vector  $\alpha_k$ , called the simple root of *W*, orthogonal to the mirror. In order to define the group *W*, angles between the simple roots (or between the mirrors) have to be given. Finite Coxeter groups have been classified for all  $1 \leq n < \infty$ .

A standard presentation of a Coxeter group [14] is provided by the identities

$$(r_j r_k)^{m_{jk}}$$
  $j, k = 1, ..., n$  (2.1)

and by the Coxeter matrix  $\mathbf{M} = (m_{jk})$  with positive integer matrix elements. Two reflections, say  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , are orthogonal if they commute, i.e.  $(r_1r_2)^2 = 1$ . It follows from (2.1) that the angle between the *j*th and *k*th mirrors is  $\pi/m_{jk}$ . The corresponding angle between the normals to the mirrors, the simple roots  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_k$ , is then  $\pi - \pi/m_{ik}$ .

A concise way to obtain the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is to read its matrix elements off the corresponding Coxeter diagram. Coxeter diagrams are drawn using the following conventions.

(i) Nodes of the diagram stand for the reflections  $r_1, \ldots, r_n$  generating W. The nodes are assumed to be numbered as the reflections. The nodes can also be interpreted either as the mirrors of a kaleidoscope or as suitably normalized vectors  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  (simple roots of W) orthogonal to the mirrors.

(ii) A line linking the *j*th and *k*th nodes carries the integer  $m_{jk}$  of (2.1). The value  $m_{jk} = 3$  is not shown in the diagram. The connecting line between nodes is omitted in the case  $m_{jk} = 2$  (orthogonal reflection planes).

Pertinent examples of Coxeter diagrams are found in (3.1) and (3.2) below.

# 3. The Coxeter groups $H_2$ , $H_3$ , $H_4$

The finite non-crystallographic Coxeter groups are by definition the groups generated by reflections which are *not* the Weyl groups of semisimple Lie algebras. Explicitly, there is the infinite series of dihedral groups (i.e. generated by two reflections, n = 2) of order  $|\mathcal{D}| = 2m$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}_m(7 \leqslant m < \infty) \qquad \stackrel{m}{\frown} \stackrel{\circ}{\frown} \qquad (3.1)$$

and the three isolated groups

$$H_4: \qquad \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc H_3: \qquad \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc H_2: \qquad \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc (3.2)$$

of orders  $120^2$ , 120, and 10, respectively. Note that  $H_2$  is the dihedral group and could have been included in (3.1) as the case m = 5;  $H_3$  is the (reflection generated) icosahedral group. A close relation between the groups  $H_4$ ,  $H_3$ , and  $H_2$  is conveyed by the similarity of their diagrams, in particular the inclusions

$$H_2 \subset H_3 \subset H_4. \tag{3.3}$$

For practical reasons we are excluding from consideration the Coxeter groups whose generating reflections can be split into two subsets which are pairwise orthogonal. Such groups would have disconnected Coxeter diagrams.

It is known that all finite non-crystallographic Coxeter groups with connected Coxeter diagrams are those listed in (3.1) and (3.2).

Let us recall that the only group generated by a single reflection is the (crystallographic) Coxeter/Weyl group of the simple Lie algebra  $A_1$ ; its order is 2. Restriction to noncrystallographic groups excludes from (3.1) four dihedral Coxeter/Weyl groups with m = 2, 3, 4, and 6. These are the Weyl groups of the semisimple Lie algebras  $A_1 + A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $B_2 \simeq C_2$ , and  $G_2$ , respectively.

In this paper we are interested in  $H_4$  and its representations. At the same time it is useful and instructive to consider as well its lower dimensional analogues,  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ , and their irreducible representations. Basic information about irreducible representations of the three groups is given by their character tables (tables 1, 3, and A1 later). Generating functions for  $H_4$ 

An explicit description of  $H_4$ ,  $H_3$ , and  $H_2$  is found, for example, in [10, 26]. We recall that the Cartan matrix of  $H_k$ , k = 2, 3, 4, is defined in the way standard in Lie theory, that is  $\mathbf{C}(H_k) := 2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)$  for  $1 \le i, j \le k$ , where (, ) denotes the scalar product. Using the conventions implied by the Coxeter diagram, we find readily from (3.2) the three Cartan matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\tau \\ -\tau & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -\tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -\tau \\ 0 & 0 & -\tau & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \tau = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{5}.$$
(3.4)

# 4. How the generating functions are derived

Our object is the derivation of generating functions for group tensors (i.e. tensors transforming irreducibly under the corresponding group) whose components are polynomials in the variables transforming irreducibly under the defining k-dimensional representation of  $H_k$ , k = 2, 3, 4. The defining representation is denoted here by  $\Box$ . In this representation the reflection,  $r_i(1 \le i \le k)$ , generating the corresponding Coxeter group is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix with the *i*th row of the Cartan matrix **C** of (3.4) subtracted from the *i*th column, i.e.

$$(r_i)_{jk} = \delta_{jk} - \delta_{ik}C_{kj}. \tag{4.1}$$

We also give the generating functions for tensors based on other equidimensional representations which are so similar that no extra work is needed to obtain them.

The generating functions we seek will have the form

$$F_{\Box}(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{p_{\max}} \{p\} \lambda^{p}}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - \lambda^{d_{i}})}.$$
(4.2)

Here  $\lambda$  is the dummy variable whose exponent equals the degree of the term it multiplies. In the denominator  $\lambda^{d_i}$  denotes an invariant of degree  $d_i$  (there are precisely k of them). In the numerator  $\{p\}$  denotes the direct sum of polynomial tensors of degree p; those which contain denominator invariants as factors are excluded:

$$p_{\max} = d_1 + \dots + d_k - k. \tag{4.3}$$

The rest of this section deals with the determination of  $\{p\}$ . Along the way we also find the values of  $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ .

The derivations here are based on a procedure first used in [24]; it is much simpler than the traditional Molien approach used, for example, in [21, 22].

Let  $\{p\}$  now denote the (reducible in general) representation of  $H_k$  defined by the onerow Young tableau of p boxes acting in a space spanned by the polynomials of degree p in the components of  $\Box$ , and let  $[1^i]$  be the representation of  $H_k$  acting in the space spanned by the completely antisymmetric tensors of degree i in  $\Box$ , defined by the one-column Young tableau of i boxes. In particular,  $[1^1] = \Box$ .

Fundamental is the recurrence relation

$$\{p\} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1} [1^i] \otimes \{p-i\}.$$
(4.4)

In starting the iteration of (4.4) we understand that  $\{p\}$  is null for p < 0 and that  $\{0\} = \chi_1$  is the invariant representation of  $H_k$ . Thus the first step of the iteration yields

$$\{1\} = [1^1] \otimes \{0\} = \Box \otimes \chi_1 = \Box.$$

The iteration of (4.4) to get higher  $\{p\}$  is straightforward, except for modifications to take account of the removal of the denominator invariants corresponding to  $\lambda^{d_i}$  in (4.2). The modification is as follows. For p equal to a sum of m different integers  $d_i$ , i.e.  $p = \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{i_j}, 1 \le m \le k$ , a term  $(-1)^{m-1}\chi_1$  is removed from  $\{p\}$  before using (4.4) again to get  $\{p+1\}, \ldots, \{p+k\}$ . Each new  $d_i$  is found as the value of p at which a new invariant appears (one not removed by the modifications involving lower  $d_i$ 's).

For most of the groups one encounters, the degrees of the invariants, appearing as the exponents  $d_i$  of the denominator terms in (4.2), are known. The above procedure then leads one readily to the desired generating function. If, however, the degrees of the denominator terms have to be determined during the iteration process, one faces a dilemma at each  $\{p\}$  where the scalar representation  $\chi_1$  appears: Is it due to a new denominator term of degree p and as such should it be discarded for the subsequent iteration steps, or is it a true numerator term which has to be retained? Clearly the answer influences the results of subsequent steps. In most cases it is a new denominator term one finds. Exceptionally, there may also be a numerator term  $\chi_1$ . A wrong decision concerning the dilemma often already leads to contradictions at the next step of the iteration, as we explain on an  $H_3$  example at the end of section 6.

A general test for a numerator scalar at degree p consists of checking the dimension of  $\{2p\}$ , with terms involving powers of the denominator scalars as factors included. The dimension of the representation  $\{2p\}$  can be calculated independently to be (k + 2p - 1)!/((2p)!(k - 1)!), but it will be greater by 1 if a numerator scalar at p was treated erroneously as a denominator scalar.

# 5. Generating functions for $H_2$

The character table for  $H_2$  is given in table 1. The defining irreducible representation referred to as  $\Box$  in section 4 is  $\chi_3$ .

Table	1.	The	character	table	of	$H_2 \simeq$	$\mathcal{D}_5$ .
-------	----	-----	-----------	-------	----	--------------	-------------------

x	1 <i>a</i>	2a	2b	5 <i>a</i>
#	1	2	2	5
χ1	1	1	1	1
χ2	1	1	1	-1
χ3	2	$-\tau'$	$-\tau$	0
χ4	2	$-\tau$	$-\tau'$	0

**Table 2.** The multiplicities of irreducible representations of  $H_2$  in  $\{p\}$ . Zero entries are not shown.

р	0	1	2	3	4	5
χ1	1					
χ2						1
χ3		1			1	
χ4			1	1		

The representation  $[1^2]$  needed in (4.4) is  $\chi_2$ . The multiplicities of irreducible representations contained in  $\{p\}$  are shown in table 2. The denominator scalars have degrees 2 and 5.

Using table 2 in (4.2) we find explicitly the desired generating function for the polynomial tensors based on  $\chi_3$ :

$$\frac{\chi_1 + \lambda\chi_3 + \lambda^2\chi_4 + \lambda^3\chi_4 + \lambda^4\chi_3 + \lambda^5\chi_2}{(1 - \lambda^2)(1 - \lambda^5)} = \chi_1 + \lambda\chi_3 + \lambda^2(\chi_1 + \chi_4) + \lambda^3(\chi_3 + \chi_4) + \lambda^4(\chi_1 + \chi_3 + \chi_4) + \lambda^5(\chi_1 + \chi_2 + \chi_3 + \chi_4) + \lambda^6(\chi_1 + 2\chi_3 + \chi_4) + \cdots$$
(5.1)

Here the interpretation of the terms of the expansion of the generating function into the power series is the standard one for a generating function. For example the term containing  $\lambda^6$  indicates that the polynomials of degree 6 transform as the reducible representation  $\chi_1 \oplus \chi_3 \oplus \chi_3 \oplus \chi_4$ .

Very similar are the polynomial tensors based on  $\chi_4$  (i.e. where  $\Box$  stands for  $\chi_4$  rather than for  $\chi_3$ ). Their generating function is given by (4.2) using a modified table 2 in which the rows opposite  $\chi_3$  and  $\chi_4$  are interchanged.

Table 2 was obtained using the recursion relations (4.4) and the value  $p_{\text{max}}$  of (4.3). Let us now illustrate how the recursion relations are used to obtain table 2.

Starting from the chosen representation  $\chi_3 = \Box = [1^1]$ , we find by standard methods also the antisymmetric part of  $\chi_3 \otimes \chi_3$  to be  $[1^2] = \chi_2$ . Since  $\chi_3$  is two-dimensional,  $[1^3] = 0$ . Hence (4.4) has two terms,

$$\{p\} = [1] \otimes \{p-1\} + (-1)[1^2] \otimes \{p-2\}.$$
(5.2)

By definition  $\{p\} = 0$  for p < 0 and  $\{0\} = \chi_1$ . Remembering that the terms  $\chi_1$  corresponding to the contribution to the power series (5.1) from the denominator terms are to be discarded, we find the following  $\{p\}$  for  $1 \le p \le p_{\text{max}} = 5$ :

$$\{1\} = \chi_{3}$$

$$\{2\} = \chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{3} - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{1} = \chi_{1} + \chi_{2} + \chi_{4} - \chi_{2} = \chi_{1} + \chi_{4} \Rightarrow \chi_{4}$$

$$\{3\} = \chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{4} - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{3} = \chi_{4}$$

$$\{4\} = \chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{4} - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{4} = \chi_{3}$$

$$\{5\} = \chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{3} - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{4} = \chi_{1} + \chi_{2} \Rightarrow \chi_{2}$$

$$\{6\} = \chi_{3} \otimes \chi_{2} - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{3} = 0$$

$$\{7\} = 0 - \chi_{2} \otimes \chi_{2} = -\chi_{1} \Rightarrow 0.$$

$$(5.3)$$

Here the double arrow marks the places where  $\chi_1$  has been discarded. Clearly the recursion calculation terminates automatically when  $p_{\text{max}}$  has been reached. Table 2 is a concise way to present the information provided in (5.3).

### 6. Generating functions for $H_3$

The character table of  $H_3$  is given by table 3. The generating function we wish to find is based on the three-dimensional representation  $\chi_4$ . It is referred to as  $\Box$  in section 4.

Antisymmetric parts of the powers of  $\chi_4$  are as follows:

$$[1] = \chi_4 \qquad [1^2] = \chi_3 \qquad [1^3] = \chi_2 \qquad [1^4] = 0 \qquad \dots \qquad (6.1)$$

$\frac{x}{x^2}$	1a 1a	2a 1a	2b 1a	2c 1a	3a 3a	6a 3a	5a 5b	5b 5a	10 <i>a</i> 5 <i>b</i>	10b 5a
<i>x</i> -	1a	2a	20	20	1a	2a	50	<i>5a</i>	100	10a
#	1	1	15	15	20	20	12	12	12	12
χ1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
χ2	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	1	-1	-1
χ3	3	3	-1	-1	0	0	τ	τ'	τ	τ΄.
χ4	3	-3	-1	1	0	0	τ.	au'	$-\tau$	$-\tau'$
χ5	3	3	-1	-1	0	0	τ'	τ	τ΄,	τ
χ6	3	-3	-1	1	0	0	$\tau'$	τ	$-\tau'$	$-\tau$
χ7	4	4	0	0	1	1	-1	-1	-1	-1
χ8	4	-4	0	0	1	-1	-1	-1	1	1
χ9	5	5	1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0	0
X10	5	-5	1	-1	-1	1	0	0	0	0

**Table 3.** The character table of  $H_3$ . The notation is described in section 7 in connection with the character table of  $H_4$ .

Hence in this case there are three terms on the right-hand side of (4.4),

$$\{p\} = [1] \otimes \{p-1\} + (-1)[1^2] \otimes \{p-2\} + [1^3] \otimes \{p-3\}.$$
(6.2)

The multiplicities of irreducible components of all  $\{p\}$  used in the recursion relations (4.4) are shown in the columns of table 4. The denominator invariants have degrees 2, 6, and 10.

Note that in table 4 the content of the line opposite each even  $\chi_i$  is that of the preceding odd line with each degree *p* subtracted from 15.

Very similar are the generating functions for polynomial tensors based on the other three-dimensional representations of  $H_3$ , namely  $\chi_3$ ,  $\chi_5$ , and  $\chi_6$ . Similarly to the case of  $H_2$ , the representations  $\{p\}$  required in (4.4) are easily read from a modified table 4. The modifications consist of the following.

(a) When  $\chi_3$  is  $\Box$  the resulting tensors  $\chi_i$  all have odd *i*. For *i* odd the representation  $\chi_i$  appears at a degree *p* for which either  $\chi_i$  or  $\chi_{i+1}$  is non-zero in table 4.

(b) When  $\chi_5$  is  $\Box$  the resulting tensors  $\chi_i$  all have odd *i*. They appear at the following degrees.

 $\chi_1$ : as shown in table 4 opposite  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$ ;

- $\chi_3$ : as shown in table 4 opposite  $\chi_5$  and  $\chi_6$ ;
- $\chi_5$ : as shown in table 4 opposite  $\chi_3$  and  $\chi_4$ ;
- $\chi_7$ : as shown in table 4 opposite  $\chi_7$  and  $\chi_8$ ;
- $\chi_9$ : as shown in table 4 opposite  $\chi_9$  and  $\chi_{10}$ .

(c) When  $\chi_6$  is  $\Box$ , then  $\chi_3$ ,  $\chi_4$ ,  $\chi_5$ ,  $\chi_6$ , appear at the degrees shown in table 4 for  $\chi_5$ ,  $\chi_6$ ,  $\chi_3$ ,  $\chi_4$ , respectively; the other  $\chi_i$  appear as shown in table 4.

Let us now iterate (6.2) in order to show how table 4 is obtained starting from the representations  $\{0\} = \chi_1, \{1\} = \chi_4$ , and putting  $\{p\} = 0$  for p < 0. We have

 $\begin{aligned} \{2\} &= \chi_4 \otimes \chi_4 - \chi_3 \otimes \chi_1 + 0 = \chi_1 + \chi_9 \Rightarrow \chi_9 \\ \{3\} &= \chi_4 \otimes \chi_9 - \chi_3 \otimes \chi_4 + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_1 = \chi_6 + \chi_8 \\ \{4\} &= \chi_4 \otimes (\chi_6 + \chi_8) - \chi_3 \otimes \chi_9 + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_4 = \chi_7 + \chi_9 \\ \{5\} &= \chi_4 \otimes (\chi_7 + \chi_9) - \chi_3 \otimes (\chi_6 + \chi_8) + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_9 = \chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_{10} \end{aligned}$ 

**Table 4.** The multiplicities of irreducible representations of  $H_3$  appearing in  $\{p\}$  of (4.2). Zero entries are not shown.

p	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
χ1	1															
χ2																1
χ3							1				1				1	
χ4		1				1				1						
χ5									1		1		1			
χ6				1		1		1								
χ7					1		1		1				1			
χ8				1				1		1		1				
χ9			1		1		1		1		1					
χ10						1		1		1		1		1		

 $\{6\} = \chi_4 \otimes (\chi_4 + \chi_6 + \chi_{10}) - \chi_3 \otimes (\chi_7 + \chi_9) + \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_6 + \chi_8)$ 

 $= \chi_{1} + \chi_{3} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9} \Rightarrow \chi_{3} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9}$   $\{7\} = \chi_{4} \otimes (\chi_{3} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9}) - \chi_{3} \otimes (\chi_{4} + \chi_{6} + \chi_{10}) + \chi_{2} \otimes (\chi_{7} + \chi_{9}) = \chi_{6} + \chi_{8} + \chi_{10}$   $\{8\} = \chi_{4} \otimes (\chi_{6} + \chi_{8} + \chi_{10}) - \chi_{3} \otimes (\chi_{3} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9}) + \chi_{2} \otimes (\chi_{4} + \chi_{6} + \chi_{10})$   $= -\chi_{1} + \chi_{5} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9} \Rightarrow \chi_{5} + \chi_{7} + \chi_{9}$   $\vdots$   $\{14\} = \chi_{4} \otimes \chi_{10} - \chi_{3} \otimes (\chi_{5} + \chi_{7}) + \chi_{2} \otimes (\chi_{8} + \chi_{10}) = \chi_{3}$ 

 $\{14\} = \chi_4 \otimes \chi_{10} - \chi_3 \otimes (\chi_5 + \chi_7) + \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_8 + \chi_{10}) = \chi$  $\{15\} = \chi_4 \otimes \chi_3 - \chi_3 \otimes \chi_{10} + \chi_2 \otimes (\chi_5 + \chi_7) = \chi_2$  $\{16\} = \chi_4 \otimes \chi_2 - \chi_3 \otimes \chi_3 + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_{10} = -\chi_1 \Rightarrow 0$  $\{17\} = -\chi_3 \otimes \chi_2 + \chi_2 \otimes \chi_3 = 0$  $\{18\} = \chi_2 \otimes \chi_2 = \chi_1 \Rightarrow 0.$ 

So we have derived table 4 and shown that the non-trivial iteration of (6.2) stops automatically at  $p = p_{\text{max}} = 15$ .

Note also that during the iteration one determines the degrees  $d_i$  of the denominator terms of the generating function. Indeed, in the iteration the discarded  $\chi_1$  occurred at p = 2, 6, 10, 2+6+10 = 18 while  $-\chi_1$  was discarded at p = 2+6 = 8, 2+10 = 12, 6+10 = 16.

We now return to the dilemma mentioned at the end of section 4. The lowest example where the ambiguity about the numerator or denominator origin of the term  $\chi_1$  arises is the case of the generating function for  $H_3$  based on the representation  $\chi_3$  instead of  $\chi_4$ . Although such a generating function was obtained above by the modification rules (a)–(c), we could have calculated it directly by the iteration process. During the iteration a numerator scalar arises at the step p = 15. It is easy to see that an error has been made if it is removed as a denominator scalar: a term  $-\chi_3$  remains at p = 16.

# 7. Generating functions for $H_4$

The character table of  $H_4$  is table A1 in the appendix [27]. Because of its size the following shortcuts were adopted in comparison with the character tables 1 and 3. In the first column an irreducible representation  $\chi_m$  is identified by its subscript *m* only. Negative

signs are shown as overbars of the corresponding entries. The character values are either integers or of the form (a, b) and (a, b)' where a and b are integers. These are to be read as

$$(a,b) = a + \tau b$$
  $(a,b)' = a + \tau' b$   $\tau = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$   $\tau' = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}).$  (7.1)

In the first line of the character table, headed by x, the conjugacy classes of the elements of  $H_4$  are named. Each symbol contains the order of the elements of the class followed by a letter in alphabetic order within each class. The lines  $x^2$ ,  $x^3$ , and  $x^5$  give the conjugacy classes of the powers 2, 3, and 5 of x, respectively. The line # shows the number of elements in each conjugacy class.

The irreducible representation of  $H_4$  referred to as  $\Box$  in section 4 is  $\chi_3$ . The representations [1<sup>2</sup>], [1<sup>3</sup>], [1<sup>4</sup>] are,  $\chi_7$ ,  $\chi_4$ ,  $\chi_2$  respectively.

It is convenient to organize the tables of  $\{p\}$  separately for p even and p odd because they contain mutually exclusive subsets of irreducible representations. Tables A2 and A3 of the appendix give the irreducible representations  $\chi_i$  contained in  $\{p\}$ : table A2 for p even, table A3 for p odd. Note that the sum of the entries on a line  $\chi_i$  is equal to the dimension of  $\chi_i$ . The degrees of the denominator invariants are 2, 12, 20, and 30.

Certain irreducible representations occur in pairs, namely those with the following subscripts:

1, 2 3, 4 5, 6 11, 12 13, 14 18, 19 20, 21 27, 28 32, 32.

The degree at which each of these appears is that of its partner subtracted from 60. Those  $\chi_i$  without a partner occur with the same multiplicity at degree p as at degree 60 - p.

When  $\Box$  is  $\chi_4$ ,  $\chi_5$ , or  $\chi_6$  instead of  $\chi_3$ , we can give the generating function in terms of that for  $\chi_3$ .

 $\Box = \chi_4$ . The representations [1<sup>2</sup>], [1<sup>3</sup>], [1<sup>4</sup>] are  $\chi_7$ ,  $\chi_3$ ,  $\chi_2$ , respectively. The rows of table A2 (*p* even) are unchanged. The rows of table A3 (*p* odd) corresponding to paired representations are interchanged; the others are unaffected.

 $\Box = \chi_5$ . The representations [1<sup>2</sup>], [1<sup>3</sup>], [1<sup>4</sup>] are  $\chi_8$ ,  $\chi_6$ ,  $\chi_2$ , respectively. The rows opposite the following pairs of  $\chi_i$  are interchanged:

7, 8; 11, 13; 12, 14; 23, 24; 29, 30 in table A2;

3, 5; 4, 6; 16, 17; 25, 26 in table A3.

All other rows are not changed.

 $\Box = \chi_6$ . The representations [1<sup>2</sup>], [1<sup>3</sup>], [1<sup>4</sup>] are  $\chi_8$ ,  $\chi_5$ ,  $\chi_2$ , respectively. The following pairs are interchanged:

7, 8; 11, 13; 12, 14; 23, 24; 29, 30 in table A2;

3, 6; 4, 5; 16, 17; 18, 19; 25, 26; 31, 32 in table A3.

All other rows are not changed.

# Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the FCAR of Quebec. One of us (JP) would like to thank the Physics Department of McGill University for the hospitality extended to him during the past three years where most of this work was done and to the Fields Institute in Toronto where it was completed.

1				
15a 15b 5b 3a 400		$\begin{array}{c} 0, 1 \\ 0, 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $	$\begin{pmatrix} 0, \bar{1} \\ 0, \bar{1} \end{pmatrix}'$
12a 6a 4a 12a 1200			0 0	0
10 <i>e</i> 5 <i>e</i> 2 <i>a</i> 288				000000
10d 5d 10c 2a 144	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	000000
10 <i>c</i> 5 <i>c</i> 10 <i>d</i> 2 <i>a</i> 144		0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	6,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	0 0 0 0
10 <i>b</i> 5 <i>b</i> 10 <i>a</i> 2 <i>a</i> 24	aaáá 1156667 aaáá 1156667 abaáá 1156675	2,0,4 4 4 4 6 2,0,4 4,4 2,4	0 0 (4, 6) (3) (4, 6) (4, 4) (6, 6) (4, 4)	$2 \tilde{s}^{[1]}$
10a 5a 10b 2a 24	ૹૼૹૼૹૹ <u></u> ૽૽૽ૼ૽ૼ૽ૼૢૼૢૼૢૼૢૼૢૼ ૱૽૽ૼૺૺ૽૾ૢૼ૽ૼૢૼૢૼૢૼૢૼૢૼ	2,0,4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	00, (4, 6), (3, 6), (4, 6),	$23^{10}$
6b 3b 2b 6b 400				0000000
6a 2a 40		. U U1414 4 4 C	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	91 <b>-</b> 0 0 mm
5 <i>e</i> 5 <i>e</i> 1 <i>a</i> 288	ה הוהוהוה ה הוט מוהוהוחוה C	° – – – – – «		0000
5 <i>d</i> 5 <i>c</i> 1 <i>a</i> 144		$2^{-1}$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$ $1$	50,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0000
5 <i>c</i> 5 <i>d</i> 5 <i>d</i> 1 <i>a</i> 144	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(0,2) $(0,2)^{(0)}$	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0000
5 <i>b</i> 5 <i>a</i> 1 <i>a</i> 24	କ୍ରିକ୍ରିକ୍ <u>୧</u> ୮) ୧୧୧୧୦ ୧୧୧୧୦ ୦୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦ ୦୦୦୦	10,0,0,4,4,4,4,4 w	0 0 (4 (4 (3)) (4 (4 (4 (3))) (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (	(0, 5) (0, 5) (0, 5)
5a 5b 5b 1a 24	କରିଥିନ୍ <u>ମି</u> ମ୍ମର୍ଭ ଅଭିନ୍ତି <sub>ମାଅ</sub> ଅଅତି ଓ ଓ ଅମ୍ମର ଅଭିନ୍ତି ଅଭିନ୍ତି ଅଭିନ୍ତ	7.0.0 14.4.4.4.4 w	0 0 (4 (4 (3)(3)) (9 (4 (4 (3)(3))) (9 (4 (4 (4 (3)(3)))))))))))))))))))))))))	(0,5) (0,5) (0,5)
4a 4a 60		000000000	0 0 v v	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
3b 3b 3b 3b 400	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		0000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3a 3a 1a 3a 40	10101010 c c v v14 0 0 0 0 4	0.0 4 4 4 4 C	אומיטיטימימ מ	e 0 0 mimi
2b 1a 2b 2b 450		000000		0000
$\begin{bmatrix} 2a \\ 1a \\ 2a \end{bmatrix}$		8 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	8-40 % % % % %
1a 1a 1a 1a 1	4 4 4 4 0 0 8 8 0 0 0 - 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 16 16 16 16 16 16	22222222	$ \begin{array}{c} 8 & 6 \\ 8 & 3 \\ 8 & 3 \\ 8 & 3 \\ 8 & 3 \\ 9 & 3 \\ 8 & 3 $
# x <sup>2</sup> 3 <sup>2</sup>	-	2210222	8 1 9 2 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7 3 7	333333333333333333333333333333333333

Table A1. The  $H_4$  character and powermap table. For notation see section 7.

Table	A1. (Contin	.ued)												
225	15b 15a	$\frac{20a}{10a}$	$20b \\ 10b \\ 20c $	30a 15b	30b 15a	$\frac{2c}{1a}$	2d 1a	4b 2b	6 <i>c</i> 3 <i>b</i>	6d 3b	10f 5d	$10g_{5d}$	10h 5c	10 <i>i</i> 5 <i>c</i>
$x_2$	ыс 3а	20 <i>b</i> 4 <i>a</i>	20a 4b	10a 6a	10 <i>b</i> 6a	2c	2d	$b^{4b}$	5 <i>a</i> 6 <i>c</i>	27 99	10n 2d	101 2 <i>c</i>	10J 2d	2c
#	480	720	720	480	480	60	60	1800	1200	1200	720	720	720	720
		- 1	1	1	1		<del></del> .,		<del></del> .,	<del></del>	<b>—</b> 1,			
n 17	I 0 17	- 0	- 0	1 9 1	1 	- (		- 0			I 0 1)	1 0 ī	1 0 17	I M īv
0 <del>4</del>	$(0, 1)^{(0)}$	00	00	$(0, \underline{1})$	$(0, \underline{1})^{(0)}$	110	10	00			$(0, \underline{1})$	(0, 1)	$(0, \underline{1})^{(0)}$	(0, 1) (0, 1)
5	(0,1)	0	0	$(0, \overline{1})'$	$(0, \overline{1})$	21	5	0	1	-1	$(0, \underline{1})'$	$(0, \bar{1})'$	$(0, \underline{1})$	$(0, \overline{1})$
90	(0, 1)	0	ہَ 0	$(0, 1)^{\prime}$	(0, 1)	20	00	0 0	- 0		$(0, 1)^{\prime}$	$(0, 1)^{\prime}$	(0, 1)	(0, 1)
~ x	(0, 1) (1 (0	0, <u>1</u> )	(0, 1)	(0, 1)	(1, 1) (1, 1)	0 0			0 0	0 0		0 0	0 0	
6	0	1	1	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0
10	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	$(0, \overline{1})'$	$(0, \overline{1})$	0	0	ŝ	ŝ		0	0	$(0, \underline{1})'$	(0, 1)'	$(0, \underline{1})$	$(0, \underline{1})$
12	0 0	$(0, \frac{1}{1})^{(}$	$(0, \overline{1})$	00	00	<i>ი</i> , ი	<i>ი</i> , ი		0 0	0 0	$(0, 1)^{(0)}$	$(0, 1)^{(0)}$	(0,1) (0,1)	(0, 1) (1)
51 14		(j.)	(, i) (, i )			o I U	o I G				(1, 1)	(0, 1)	(0, I) (1)	(0, ī) )/1
15		1	1	-1	>	0	n 0	- 0	00	0 0	0	0	0	0
16	(0, 1)'	0	0	$(0, \bar{1})$	$(0, \bar{1})'$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	$\frac{1}{1}$ (0, 1)	00	00	(0, 1)'	(0, 1)	0 <	017	0 0	01-	0 -	0 -	01-	0 -	01-
19		00				414	14	0 0			-1	1 1		1
20		0	0		1	4	4	0					1	1
21		0	01			4	14 (	0						1
27 7 7	0			0	0	00	00	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
242	(0, 1)			$(0, 1)^{(0)}$	(0, 1)	00	0 0	0	00	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0
25	1 — 1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26 26		00	0 0			0 4	0 4	0 -	01-	01-	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
28 28	00			0 0	0 0	הויה	nin				0 0	0 0	0 0	
29	$(0, \bar{1})'$	(0, 1)	(0, 1)'	$(0, \bar{1})$	$(0, \bar{1})'$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 31	0,1)	$(0, 1)^{\prime}$	(0, 1)	(0, 1)'	(0, 1)	00	00	00	0	0 -	0-1-	0 -	00	00
32	0	0 01	0 0	010	9	00	00	0					00	00
33 24						00	00	0 0	0 0	00	00	0 0	0 0	0 0
5 4	I	D	D	1	1	0	0	0	Ο	0	0	0	0	0

Table A1. (Continued)

Table A	<b>.</b> 2. T	The n	nulti	plicit	ies o	f irre	ducibl	e repr	esenti	ations	; in { <i>F</i>	۰}, wit	h <i>p</i> ev	ven, a	ppeari	ing in	the nu	merat	or of	the $H_{L}$	gene	rating	functi	on ba	sed on	X3. Z	ero ei	ntries	are no	ot sho	wn.
	6			) <u>°</u>		0	12	14	16	18	20	22	24	26	28	30	32	34	36	38	40	42	4	9	84	0 5	2	4	9	88	00
X1 I																															
X2																														_	_
X7						. *	1				1					0					1				_						
X8											1		-			0			-		1										
6X							1				1		1			0			1		1				_						
X11			. –	_	1			-	-		1		-	1		-		1													
X12														1		1		1	1		1	-			-		1				
X13	1				1		1			1	1	-			1	1				1											
X14												-				-	-			1	1	_			_				1		
Χ15							1				0		1			7			1		0				_						
X20			. –	1			-	-	_	0		1	0	1	-		1	1	1			_									
X21										1			1	1	1		1	1	7	1		2		_	_	1	1				
X22					-			1		1	1	1	-	1	1	7	-	1	1	1	1	_			-						
X23							1	-		7	1	0	-	1	0	7	7	1	1	5	_	~			_						
X24			. –	_				-	-	-	-	1	0	0	1	0	-	5	5	-	1	_		_			1				
X27		1		1	-		1	-	~	-	7	0	-	7	0	-	5	1	-	-	1	-									
X28									1		1	1	-	1	0	-	7	0	1	5	0	-			_	-		_			
X29					1		_	1		-	0	ŝ	-	1	ŝ	0	3	1	-	3	2	_			_						
X30					1			-	2	1	0	-	7	ŝ	1	7	1	3	7	1	0	1			-						
X33				1			1	-	2	7	1	0	ю	ю	ю	7	ю	3	3	7	_	0		_	_	1					

## References

- DiVincenzo D P, Mosseri R, Brodsky M H and Sadoc J F 1984 Long range structural and electronic coherence in amorphous semiconductors *Phys. Rev.* B 29 5934–6
- [2] DiVincenzo D P and Brodsky M H 1985 Polytope-like order in random network model of amorphous semiconductors J. Non-Crystall. Solids 77 & 78 241–4
- [3] Mosseri R, DiVincenzo D P, Sadoc J F and Brodsky M H 1985 Polytope model and the electronic and structural properties of amorphous semiconductors *Phys. Rev.* B 32 3974–4000
- [4] Brodsky M H, DiVincenzo D P and Mosseri R 1985 A structural basis for electronic coherence in amorphous Si and Ge Proc. of 17th Int. Conf. on the Physics of Semiconductors pp 803–6
- [5] Fradkin M A 1987 Phonon spectrum of metallic glasses in an icosahedral model Sov. Phys.-JETP 66 822-8
- [6] DiVincenzo D P 1988 Nonlinear optics as a probe of chiral ordering in amorphous semiconductors Phys. Rev. B 37 1245–61
- [7] Bul'enkov N A 1991 Possible role of hydration as the leading integration factor in the organization of biosystems at different levels of their hierarchy *Biophysics* 36 181–244
- [8] Elser V and Sloane N J A 1987 A highly symmetric four-dimensional quasicrystal J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 20 6161–8
- [9] Moody R V and Patera J 1993 Quasicrystals and icosians J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 2829-53
- [10] Patera J 1997 Non-crystallographic root systems and quasicrystals Proc. NATO ASI, Waterloo, 1995 ed R V Moody (Dordrecht: Kluwer) to be published
- [11] Scherbak O P 1988 Wavefronts and reflection groups Russian Math. Surveys 43:3 149-94
- [12] Gross D J, Harvey J A, Martinec E and Rhom R 1985 Heterotic string Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 502-5
- [13] Hiller H 1982 Geometry of Coxeter Groups (Boston, MA: Pitman)
- [14] Humphreys J E 1990 Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [15] Deodhar V V 1982 Root system of a Coxeter group Comm. Algebra 10 611-30
- [16] Molien T 1897 Sitzungber. König. Preuss. Acad. Wiss. p 1152
- [17] McLellan A G 1974 Invariant functions and homogeneous bases of representations of the crystal point groups, with applications to thermodynamic properties of crystals under strain J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 7 3326–40
- [18] Bethe H 1929 Termaufspaltung in Kristallen Ann. Phys. 3 133-208
- [19] von der Lage F C and Bethe H 1947 A method for obtaining electronic eigenfunctions and eigenvalues in solids with an application to sodium *Phys. Rev.* 71 612–22
- [20] Michel L 1977 Invariants polynomioux des groupes de symetrie moleculaire et cristallographique Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (Proc. of the 5th Int. Coll.) ed B Kolman and R T Sharp (New York: Academic) pp 75–91
- [21] Patera J, Sharp R T and Winternitz P 1978 Polynomial irreducible tensors for point groups J. Math. Phys. 19 2362–76
- [22] Desmier P and Sharp R T 1979 Polynomial tensors for double point groups J. Math. Phys. 20 74-82
- [23] Patera J and Sharp R T 1980 Generating functions for plethysms of continuous and finite groups J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 397–416
- [24] Desmier P E, Patera J and Sharp R T 1982 Analytic SU(3) states in a finite subgroup basis J. Math. Phys. 23 1383–8
- [25] Cummins C J and Patera J 1988 Polynomial icosahedral invariants J. Math. Phys. 29 1736-45
- [26] Champagne B, Kjiri M, Patera J and Sharp R T 1995 Description of reflection generated polytopes using decorated Coxeter diagrams Can. J. Phys. 73 566–84
- [27] Grove L C 1974 The characters of the hecatonicoicosahedral group J. reine angew. Math. 265 160-9